AGENDA MANAGEMENT SHEET

Name of Committee	Adult Social Care and Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee			
Date of Committee	24	th January 2011		
Report Title	Adult Social Care Annual Performance Assessment Improvement Plan			
Summary	Each year the Care Quality Commission (CQC) acting as the Adult Social Care regulator assess performance within all local authorities with social care responsibilities and award judgements which indicate the quality of service provided by each Council. As reported to O&S in December we received a positive outcome for the performance year 2009/10 but some areas for improvement were identified within the report form the CQC and this paper outlines the actions we are currently taking to address these issues.			
For further information please contact:	Andrew Sharp Service Manager, Adult Social Care Tel: 01926 745610			
Would the recommended decision be contrary to the Budget and Policy Framework?	No.			
Background papers	O&S Report 8 th December 2010 Adult Social Care APA letter 2009/10 Adult Social Care APA Report 2009/10			
CONSULTATION ALREADY U	JNDI	ERTAKEN:- Details to be specified		
Other Committees				
Local Member(s)	Χ	Not Applicable		
Other Elected Members	X	Councillor L Caborn, Councillor D Shilton, Councillor C Watson, Councillor S Tooth, Councillor C Rolfe, Councillor J Tandy, Councillor J Ross, Councillor P Balaam		
Cabinet Member	Χ	Councillor Mrs I Seccombe, Councillor H Timms		
Chief Executive				
Legal	X	Alison Hallworth, Adult and Community Team Leader		

Finance	Χ	Chris Norton, Strategic Finance Manager
Other Chief Officers District Councils		
Health Authority	Χ	Warwickshire PCT
Police		
Other Bodies/Individuals	X	Janet Purcell, Cabinet Manager Michelle McHugh, Overview and Scrutiny Manager
FINAL DECISION YES		
SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS:		Details to be specified
Further consideration by this Committee		
To Council		
To Cabinet		
To Cabinet To an O & S Committee		

Adult Social Care and Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee – 24th January 2011

Adult Social Care Annual Performance Assessment Improvement Plan

Report of the Strategic Director, Adult, Health and Community Services

Recommendations

It is recommended that the committee considers and comments on the actions planned to address the areas for improvement highlighted by the Care Quality Commission (CQC).

1. Background

- 1.1 Each year the Care Quality Commission (CQC) acting as the Adult Social Care regulator assess performance within all local authorities with social care responsibilities and award judgements which indicate the quality of service provided by each Council. As reported to O&S in December we received a positive outcome for the performance year 2009/10 but some areas for improvement were identified within the report form the CQC and this paper outlines the actions we are currently taking to address these issues.
- 1.2 The CQC rate social services for adults in the form of a judgement, which categorises authorities as:
 - Grade 4 Performing excellently
 - Grade 3 Performing well
 - Grade 2 Performing adequately
 - Grade 1 Performing poorly

These judgements are formed through an assessment of our performance against a set of seven outcomes:

- Improved health and well-being
- Improved quality of life
- Making a positive contribution
- Increased choice and control
- Freedom from discrimination or harassment
- Economic well-being

- Maintaining personal dignity and respect
- 1.3 In addition to these outcomes we are also assessed against two additional areas (domains), these being:
 - Leadership
 - Commissioning & Resources
- 1.4 Overall the CQC has rated adult social care in Warwickshire as Grade 3 Performing Well, this means that in the view of the CQC services in Warwickshire "consistently deliver above minimum requirements for people, are cost-effective and makes contributions to wider outcomes for the community."

This judgement is extremely positive and although it falls in line with the rating that we received for 2008/09 the level of continued improvement and progress in relation to adult social care services in the County is highlighted through the specific judgements that have been made by the CQC in relation to the outcomes against which we are assessed.

When compared to the results we received in our 2008/09 assessment we have improved in one of the seven areas, achieving our second excellent rating in relation to "Improved Quality of Life" and maintained our excellent status in relation to "Making a Positive Contribution". The table below shows our 2008/09 judgements compared to the new 2009/10 judgements.

Areas for judgment	2008/09	2009/10
Improved health and emotional well-being	Well	Well
Improved quality of life	Well	Excellent
Making a positive contribution	Excellently	Excellent
Increased choice and control	Well	Well
Freedom from discrimination & harassment	Well	Well
Economic well-being	Well	Well
Maintaining personal dignity and respect	Well	Well
Performance Rating	Performing Well	Performing Well

2. Information and Advice

2.1 The CQC only identified areas for improvement and further development in some of the criteria considered as part of the assessment and as a result the improvement plan at appendix A does not cover all of the seven outcomes and two domains of the Annual Performance Assessment.

2.2 The improvement plan has been developed through discussion with responsible managers across the Directorate and has been considered and approved by the Adult, Health & Community Services Directorate Leadership Team. Although there is currently no intention for the CQC to undertake an annual performance assessment for the year 2010/11 the Directorate Leadership Team will monitor the delivery of the improvement plan as part of its regular performance management and reporting framework to ensure that the required improvements are delivered.

WENDY FABBRO Strategic Director of Adult, Health and Community Services

Shire Hall Warwick

January 2011

Appendix A

Adult Health & Community Services

Annual Performance Assessment 2009/10 – Improvement Plan



Working for In Jarunick shire

Outcome 1: Improved health and emotional well-being No areas for improvement identified against this criteria

Outcome 2: Improved quality of life							
Area for Improvement	Action	Lead Officer	Impact of Action	Delivery Date			
Waiting times for adaptations should be reduced in line with other Councils.	Currently, there are no waiting lists for the minor adaptations in Warwickshire. However waiting times for major adaptations continue to be higher than the reported average through the CQC. Waiting times have increased due to the volume of adaptations that are required. Major adaptations are managed through district councils and we continue to work in partnership with these authorities to streamline arrangements. Development & implementation of a revised approach to telecare across Warwickshire.	Andy Clayton	The use of new technology linked to telecare has the potential to reduced the number of customers in need of a major adaptation which would reduce waiting times.	31 st March 2011			

Outcome 3: Making a positive contribution	
No areas for improvement identified against this criteria	

Outcome 4: Increased choice	e & control			
Area for Improvement	Action	Lead Officer	Impact of Action	Delivery Date
Deliver planned roll out of reablement services and self directed support across Warwickshire	Self Directed Support as a model has now been rolled out to all OPPD teams and plans are in place to undertake a similar process within Learning Disability Teams.	Gill Jowers	The use of this approach increases customer choice and control in the services and support they receive.	In place
	Reablement has now been rolled out to all OPPD and Hospital teams across the county.	Zoe Bogg	The use of reablement ensures that where possible those who access the service are able to recover following a period of crisis which reduces dependency on social care services.	In place

Outcome 5: Freedom from discrimination or harassment	
No areas for improvement identified against this criteria	

Outcome 6: Economic well-being	
	No areas for improvement identified against this criteria

Outcome 7: Maintaining pers	onal dignity and respect			
Area for Improvement	Action	Lead Officer	Impact of Action	Delivery Date
Further development and extension of work to evaluate outcomes for people subject to a safeguarding review.	pment andWarwickshire ASC currentlyork to evaluaterecord and report the rangepeople subjectof outcomes required for		We will have increased access to data to inform our approach to safeguarding and to manage our interventions to ensure they are effective.	From January 2011 in line with the expansion of the enhanced assessment and care management recording system (CareFirst6)
	The AVA dataset are objective measures and do not capture self reported satisfaction, or perception of feeling safer as a result. We piloted a paper recording system to capture this level of subjective outcome information in 2009-10 and will mainstream this type of data capture.			